MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL DEBATES

THIRD SESSION OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

17th November, 4th, 5th, 6th, 8th, 9th, 10th and 11th December 1952.

Volume III (Nos. 1 to 8)

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PAGES.

Monday, the 17th November 1952.

Personnel of the Government of Madras i

Principal Officers of the Madras Legislative Council ii

List of Members of the Madras Legislative Council with their Constituencies .. .. iii-v

I Questions and Answers 1–30, 99–121

II Announcements by the Hon. the Chairman—

(1) Panel of Chairmen 31

(2) Messages from the Assembly 31–33

III Government Bills—

(1) The Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 12 of 1952) 33–70

(2) The Madras Prohibition (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 8 of 1952) 70–71

(3) The Madras District Boards (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 13 of 1952) 71–87

(4) The Bhavani Reservoir Irrigation Cess (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 10 of 1952) 87–88

(5) The Madras Christian Marriages Validation Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 14 of 1952) 88–92

(6) The Madras Cotton Control Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 15 of 1952) 92–96

IV Change in the order of Business 70

V Papers placed on the table of the House 97–98

Thursday, the 4th December 1952.

I Questions and Answers 123–150, 166–206

II Announcement by the Hon. the Chairman—

(1) Message from the Governor 150

(2) Messages from the Assembly 150–151

PAGES

Thursday, the 4th December 1952—cont.

III Government Bills—

(1) The Madras Payment of Salaries and Removal of Disqualifications (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 9 of 1952) .. 152–154, 206–207

(2) The Madras Repealing and Amending Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 16 of 1952) 154–155, 207–217

(3) The Madras Essential Articles Control and Requisitioning (Temporary Powers) Amendment Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 17 of 1952) 155–157, 217–218

(4) The Madras Survey and Boundaries (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 18 of 1952) 157–158, 218–219

(5) The Madras Sugar Factories Control (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 19 of 1952) 159–161, 219–221

IV Government Motion—Amendment and rule under section 40 (1) of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948 161–164

V Papers laid on the table of the House 164–165

Friday, the 5th December 1952.

I Questions and Answers 223–254, 281–294

II Announcement by the Hon. the Chairman—Message from the Governor 254

III Government Bills—

(1) The Indian Registration, Madras (Amendment) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 20 of 1952) 254–255, 295–296

(2) The Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 12 of 1952) 255–279, 296–307

IV Papers laid on the table of the House 280

Saturday, the 6th December 1952.

I Questions and Answers 309–325, 361–369

II Opposition Party and Leader of the Opposition 325

III Government Bill—The Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 12 of 1952)—*cont.* 325–360

Monday, the 8th December 1952.

I Questions and Answers 371–384, 428–442

II Government Bill—The Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 12 of 1952)—*cont.* 384–427

Tuesday, the 9th December 1952

I Questions and Answers 443–446, 473–477, 490

PAGES.

Tuesday the 9th December 1952—cont.

II Government Bill—The Tanjore Tenants and Pannaiyal Protection Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 12 of 1952)—*cont.* 446–473

III Non-official Business—

Resolutions

(1) Declaration of a moratorium in all famine-stricken areas .. 477–479

(2) Appointment of a committee to consider the repealing and amending of all enactments in force in the State in the light of the Constitution of India 479–489

Wednesday, the 10th December 1952.

I Questions and Answers 491–496

II Announcement by the Hon. the Chairman— 534

(1) Message from the Governor 496

(2) Message from the Assembly 496

III Government Motion—Motion under rule 24 of the Madras Council Rules 496–497

IV Adjournment Motions—

(1) Fast undertaken by Potti Sriramulu for the formation of an Andhra State 497

(2) Selection Examination system in the VI Form 497–498

(3) Arrest of handloom weavers at Mount Road 498–500

V Government Bill—The Madras Motor Vehicles (Taxation of Passengers and Goods) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 21 of 1952) .. 501–533
534–540

VI Papers laid on the table of the House 533

Thursday, the 11th December 1952.

I Questions and Answers 541–543

II Government Bill—The Madras Motor Vehicles (Taxation of Passengers and Goods) Bill, 1952 (L.A. Bill No. 21 of 1952)—*cont.* 543–563

III Papers laid on the table of the House 563

6th December 1952]

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY :—" May I know, in view of the merger of the various Scouts Movements, whether the Government have a Five-Year Plan or a Ten-Year Plan to progressively help these institutions ? "

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" There is no Ten-Year Plan under consideration of the Government. From time to time periodical conferences are held and the suggestions and proposals of the Conference will be considered by the Government and necessary action will be taken."

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY :—" Will Government kindly consider the question of granting allowances to persons working at district level, as is being done in the case of N.C.C., as these institutions have been really doing a major part of the education work by building the character of boys and girls ? " 9.20 a.m.

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" There are the President and other office-bearers and they manage the Scout activities of the district under the guidance of the District Commissioner. The District Associations themselves enrol members and collect the funds necessary from the public and from the membess for running the organization. A portion of the State membership fees collected in the district is also paid to the District Association by the State Association. Apart from this, there is no proposal to give allowances to those who are running the organizations at district level, and no such proposal has been made to the Government."

Qualifications for Language Pandits.

*90 *Q.*—SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(*a*) the details of the latest orders fixing qualifications for Language Pandits of the First Grade ; and

(*b*) whether any minimum number of hours of work has been prescribed for eligibility to such grade ?

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" (*a*) A copy [a] of G.O. No. 526, Education, dated 15th March 1948, is laid on the table of the House.

" Pandits who were only holders of Oriental Titles in the languages were allowed pay in the Grade I scale (Rs. 75—145 or Rs. 85—175) if they had put in 15 years of service on 1st January 1948. Others were admitted to scale of Rs. 60—120 or Rs. 65—130. Orders were issued subsequently that Pandits should be admitted to the Garde I scale (Rs. 75—145 or Rs. 85—175) *as and when they completed 15 years of service even after 1st January* 1948.

[a] Printed as Appendix V on pages 367–369 infra.

"(b) The Director of Public Instruction has issued proceedings that Pandits should have 17 hours of work in higher forms (IV, V and VI) of high schools for eligibility to the Grade I scale of pay."

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY :—" May I know how Government feel the need to make a distinction as between teachers of the same qualifications in respect of their pay and find it necessary to place them in Grade I, Grade II or Grade III according to the vacancies in the school or the classes handled by them when they have got the maximum qualifications? "

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" In fact there is not much distinction. Only those who are working in certain categories which are equated as Grade I, receive the same pay, whatever the difference be in their qualifications. For example, teachers with a Degree in Language plus L.T., B.T. or B.Ed., B.O.L. with B.T., are given the same pay."

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY :—" Sir, is it not a fact that the insistence on 17 hours of work for a teacher practically nullifies the eligibility of language teachers to Grade I salaries even if they are placed in Category I? Is this not more so in the case of Hindi Pandits? Sir, there are cases in schools where the management have to employ more than one Pandit. Naturally the second Pandit, whether he be a Hindi Pandit or Tamil Pandit or Sanskrit Pandit does not find 17 hours of work. Naturally the man with the maximum qualification who is working in Grade I is deprived of the Grade I scale of pay. So cannot Government relax this rule of 17 hours of work? "

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" Government have to see that a certain amount of work is put in by the staff. If there is no restriction, the management will be hard put to manage."

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY :—" Sir, in the case of Hindi Pandits it is insisted that they should undergo Pracharak Training though they might have passed the S.S.L.C. and undergone secondary grade training. May I inquire why this second training should be insisted upon in the case of Hindi Pandits, when it is not insisted upon in the case of other Pandits? May I request the Hon. Minister to clarify it? "

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" It has been found necessary in the case of Hindi Pandits as well as language Pandits of Grade I."

SRI S. RAMAKRISHNIAH :—" Language బోధించేటటువంటి పండితులకు English qualification ఉండాలని నిర్బంధన ఉన్నది. అది ఉన్నటువంటి వారికే First grade కు ఆర్హత ఉన్నదని rules చెప్పుతున్నాయి. Language బోధించేటటువంటి పండితులకు ఈ English భాషయొక్క ఆవసర మేమిటో ప్రభుత్వము తెలియజేస్తుందా? "

6th December 1952]

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" The minimum educational qualification has been found necessary and desirable, and particularly with reference to not only general knowledge but with reference to the need to look to translation work."

SRI N. SATYANARAYANA :—" Sir, in the case of Sanskrit Pandits and Hindi Pandits, is it necessary that they should pass also the School Final Examination?"

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" There is need for a certain minimum general knowledge besides a knowledge of the language. At the present moment an S.S.L.C. pass has been fixed, but if there is any alternative proposal, the Government will consider that also."

SRI S. RAMAKRISHNIAH :—" కొన్ని హైస్కూళ్లలో, ముఖ్యంగా జిల్లా బోర్డు స్కూళ్లలో ఈ యోగ్యత కలిగి 15 సంవత్సరముల సర్వీసును కలిగినటు వంటి పండితులకుగాని, లేదా S.S.L.C. qualification కలి ఇతర training యోగ్యత కలిగినటువంటి వారికిగాని ఈ First grade scales ఇవ్వడం లేదనిన్నీ వారికి మామూలుగా రూ. 45—60 గ్రేడు మాత్రమే ఇస్తున్నారనే టటువంటి విషయము ప్రభుత్వ దృష్టికి తీసుకొని రాబడినదా? తీసుకొని రాబడినటులైన వాటి విషయమై ప్రభుత్వము ఎట్టి చర్య తీసుకొన్నది?"

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" Pandits with experience of working in high school classes are given the privilege of being placed in Grade I. I am not aware of any cases of exceptions."

SRI S. RAMAKRISHNIAH :—" హైస్కూళ్లలో 12 వీరియడ్లకు మని చూపించినప్పుడు వారికి ప్రత్యేకముగా పది రూపాయల allowance ఇవ్వాలనే నిబంధన ఉన్నది. ఆ నిబంధన ఎంతవరకు పాటింపబడుచున్నది. ఆలా ఇది పాటింప బడుచుండుట లేదనే విషయము ప్రభుత్వ దృష్టికి తీసుకొని రాబడినదా? తీసుకొని రాబడిన దానిపైన ప్రభుత్వము ఏమి చర్య తీసుకొన్నది?"

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" I request the hon. Member to put a separate question."

Classification of Drawing Masters according to their qualifications.

*91 Q.—SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state, whether there is any proposal to classify Drawing Masters into various grades according to their general and technical qualifications?

THE HON. DR. M. V. KRISHNA RAO :—" There is no such proposal."

SRI G. KRISHNAMURTHY :—" May I know, Sir, why such a classification should not be made by Government in the case of Drawing Masters, in view of the fact that the classification according to general qualifications and the classes handled has been made in the case of Language Pandits and Physical